ioneerarah Creek Watershed Management Commission

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE: 3235 Fernbrook Lane N • Plymouth, MN 55447 763.553.1144 • Fax: 763.553.9326 • judie@jass.biz • www.pioneersarahcreek.org

REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

July 21, 2016 • 6:00 pm

Maple Plain City Hall @ The Discovery Center 5050 Independence Street, Maple Plain (note new location)

The meeting packet can be found on the Commission's website: http://pioneersarahcreek.org/pages/Meetings/

REVISED

- 1. Approve Agenda.*
- 3. Consent Agenda.
 - a. May regular meeting minutes.*
 - b. Monthly Claims/Treasurers Report.*
- 4. Action Items.
 - a. 2016-02W Budd Avenue Improvement Project, Maple Plain.*
 - b. CIP Process Policy.* -
 - c. 2016 CAMP Agreement.*
- 5. Open Forum.
- 6. Old Business.
 - a. Third Community Conversation next steps.
 - b. TAC CIP review. (Based on Staff solicitation to cities for projects to be added to CIP.)
 - 1) Schedule TAC meeting.
 - c. Livestock Management Ordinance. Will be considered at the August meeting.
 - 1) Transmittal Letter.
 - 2) Resolution 2016-01.
- 7. New Business.
 - a. New Buffer Law.
 - 1) Wenck memo.*
 - 2) BWSR July 18 update.*
- 8. Staff Report.
 - a. June.*
 - b. July.*
 - c. Website update.
- 9. Education.
 - a. Pledge to Plant rack cards.*
 - b. 2016 Clean Water Summit.*
 - c. NEMO on the Water with Minnehaha WD August 3.
- 10. Communications.
 - a. Storage Area Walk-through.*
- 11. Commissioner Reports.

- 12. Other Business.
 - a. 2015-2017 CIPs. (see below)
- 13. Adjournment. (Next meeting-August 18, 2016 at 6:00 pm, Maple Plain)

* Included in meeting packet.

Year	Project	Project Name	Est Cost	Actual Cost	Est Comm Share	Actual Comm. Share	Notes
2014- 2015	ME-1	Lake Ardmore infiltration basin	67,826		3,470.10		\$33,125 MPCA grant
2015	IN-1	Lake Sarah curlyleaf pondweed treatment	40,000		4,000	5,332.52	
		Hydrologic restoration: HR 67	200,000				
	IN-2	Hydrologic restoration: HR 68			20,000		
		Hydrologic restoration: HR 29	200,000		20,000		
		Hydrologic restoration: HR 33					
	ME-2	Lake Independence curlyleaf pondweed treatment	122,000		12,200		No request in 2015
2016	GR-3	Dance Hall Creek BMPs	200,000		10,000		
	GR-4	Feedlot improvements: Dance Hall Creek	35,000		1,750		
	GR-9	Buffer strips: Dance Hall Creek	35,000		1,750		
	GR-11	Control carp population: Lake Sarah	10,000		500		
	GR-11	Control carp population: other lakes	10,000		500		
	IN-3	Lake Sarah curlyleaf pondweed treatment	32,000		3,200		
	IN-4	Gully restorations: GS50 (design)	120,000		12,000		Study \$20,638; Comm share = \$5,159.50
	ME-4	Lake Ardmore neighborhood projects	80,000		8,000		
2017	IN-5	Lake Sarah curlyleaf pondweed treatment	26,000		2,600		
	IN-7	Raingardens in targeted areas	75,000		7,500		
	IN-9	Shoreline restoration – Sarah and Independence	125,000		12,500		
	GR-4	Feedlot improvements: Dance Hall Creek	35,000		1,750		
	GR-9	Buffer strips: Dance Hall Creek	35,000		1,750		
SPECIAL S	STUDIES						
2015	MP-4	Ravine study	3,000		300		
2015	ME-3	Lake Independence Subwatershed Assessment	15,000		1,500		
2018	GR-1	Subw Assess-Hafften, Schendel, Schwauppauff	20,000		1,000		
No Year	Assigned						
	CIP-7	Lindgren Lane Pond	100,000		10,000		
	CIP-8	Koch's/Mill's Creek Inlet Ponds (now HR 97 and 29)	200,000		20,000		
	CIP-11	Manure Management Cost-Share Projects	250,000		25,000		
	LO-1	Chippewa Road Drainage	21,000		2,100		
	LO-2	Creekview Road Drainage	21,000		2,100		
	LO-3	Retention Pond mapping and cleanup	10,000		1,000		
	LO-4	Ditch Cleaning at Ballpark	10,000		1,000		
	LO-5	Sediment Pond Cleanout	25,000		2,500		
	LO-6	Sediment Pond Cleanout	80,000		8,000		
	MP-1	Drainageway Cleaning –E of Budd	55,000		5,500		
	MP-2	Rock checks, Main St Ravine	23,700		2,370		
	MP-3	Washout, Main St Ravine	8,000		800		
	MP-5	North Ravine Cleanup	286,000		28,600		



ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE: 3235 Fernbrook Lane N • Plymouth, MN 55447 763.553.1144 • Fax: 763.553.9326 • judie@jass.biz • www.pioneersarahcreek.org

Project	Project Name	Total Cost	Comm Share	2014	2015	2016	2017
ME-1	Lake Ardmore infiltration basin	30,000	3,000	3,000			
IN-1	Lake Sarah curlyleaf pondweed treatment	40,000	4,000		4,000		
	Hydrologic restoration: HR 67		20,000				
IN-2	Hydrologic restoration: HR 68	200,000			20,000		
111-2	Hydrologic restoration: HR 29	200,000					
	Hydrologic restoration: HR 33						
ME-2	Lake Independence curlyleaf pondweed treatment	122,000	12,200		12,200		
GR-3	Dance Hall Creek BMPs	200,000	10,000			10,000	
GR-4	Feedlot improvements: Dance Hall Creek	35,000	1,750			1,750	
GR-9	Buffer strips: Dance Hall Creek	35,000	1,750			1,750	
GR-11	Control carp population: Lake Sarah	10,000	500			500	
GR-11	Control carp population: other lakes	10,000	500			500	
IN-3	Lake Sarah curlyleaf pondweed treatment	32,000	3,200			3,200	
IN-4	Gully restorations: GS50 (design)	120,000	12,000			5,160	
ME-4	Lake Ardmore neighborhood projects	80,000	8,000			8,000	
IN-5	Lake Sarah curlyleaf pondweed treatment	26,000	2,600				2,600
IN-7	Raingardens in targeted areas	75,000	7,500				7,500
IN-9	Shoreline restoration – Sarah and Independence	125,000	12,500				12,500
GR-4	Feedlot improvements: Dance Hall Creek	35,000	1,750				1,750
GR-9	Buffer strips: Dance Hall Creek	35,000	1,750				1,750
MP-4	Ravine study	3,000	300				
ME-3	Lake Independence Subwatershed Assessment	15,000	1,500				
GR-1	Subw Assess-Hafften, Schendel, Schwauppauff	20,000	1,000				
CIP-7	Lindgren Lane Pond	100,000	10,000				
CIP-8	Koch's/Mill's Creek Inlet Ponds (now HR 97 and 29)	200,000	20,000				
CIP-11	Manure Management Cost-Share Projects	250,000	25,000				
LO-1	Chippewa Road Drainage	21,000	2,100				
LO-2	Creekview Road Drainage	21,000	2,100				
LO-3	Retention Pond mapping and cleanup	10,000	1,000				
LO-4	Ditch Cleaning at Ballpark	10,000	1,000				
LO-5	Sediment Pond Cleanout	25,000	2,500			İ	
LO-6	Sediment Pond Cleanout	80,000	8,000				
MP-1	Drainageway Cleaning –E of Budd	55,000	5,500			İ	
MP-2	Rock checks, Main St Ravine	23,700	2,370				
MP-3	Washout, Main St Ravine	8,000	800				
MP-5	North Ravine Cleanup	286,000	28,600				
				3,000	36,200	30,860	26,100

Z:\Pioneer-SarahCreek\Meetings\Meetings 2016\May meeting agenda.docx

----Original Message----

From: Lisa Whalen [mailto:LWhalen@ci.minnetrista.mn.us]

Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2016 3:25 PM

To: judie@jass.biz

Subject: Fwd: capital improvement projects under Pioneer-Sarah Creek JPA

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Batty, Ronald H." <rbatty@Kennedy-Graven.com<mailto:rbatty@kennedy-

graven.com>>

Date: July 19, 2016 at 2:37:00 PM CDT

To: "lwhalen@ci.minnetrista.mn.us<mailto:lwhalen@ci.minnetrista.mn.us>" <lwhalen@ci.minnetrista.mn.us<mailto:lwhalen@ci.minnetrista.mn.us>> Subject: capital improvement projects under Pioneer-Sarah Creek JPA

Lisa, I've reviewed section 7 of the Pioneer-Creek WMO JPA regarding capital improvement projects. That section applies to projects "ordered" by the Commission either resulting in payment by a member entity of the Commission or in levying assessments by a member against property in the member's jurisdiction. With regard to such projects, the JPA sets out a procedure which includes the need for a public hearing by the Commission. You have asked whether this requirement applies to a capital improvement project initiated by a member towards which the Commission may contribute. I do not think it does. By its language, it applies to projects the Commission orders. I found no language attempting to apply this section to the projects initiated by members and, in my opinion, such would be unlikely given the independent powers and authority possessed by those other entities. They need to follow their own rules.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE METROPOLITAN COUNCIL AND THE PIONEER-SARAH WATERSHED MANAGEMENT COMMISSION

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into by and between the Metropolitan Council (the "Council") and the Pioneer-Sarah Watershed Management Commission (the "Watershed"), each acting by and through its duly authorized officers.

THE ABOVE-NAMED PARTIES hereby agree as follows:

I. GENERAL SCOPE OF AGREEMENT

The Council and the Watershed agree to undertake a volunteer lake sampling study in order to provide an economical method of broadening the water quality database on lakes in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area.

II. SPECIFIC SCOPE OF SERVICES

2.01 Lake Monitoring Program. The Watershed and the Council agree to jointly undertake a volunteer lake monitoring program as specified below:

- a. General Purposes of Program. The volunteer lake monitoring program involves the use of citizen volunteers to monitor lakes in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. The volunteers will collect surface water samples to be analyzed for total phosphorus (TP), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), and chlorophyll-a (CLA). In addition, the volunteers will measure surface water temperature, water transparency, and fill out a lake sampling form to help describe the lake and weather conditions at the time of the sampling event. Lakes will be visited biweekly from April through October of 2016 (the "Monitoring Period") for the number of times and at the approximate intervals specified in paragraph (b) below. Each lake will be sampled over the deepest open water location. After each sampling date, the Council will arrange for chemical analysis of the samples either through its own laboratory or an outside laboratory.
- b. Specific Lakes Involved. The following lakes and specific lake site(s) listed below will be involved in the Council's Citizen-Assisted Lake Monitoring Program (CAMP) in 2016.

Lake name	DNR ID#	Maximum # of sampling dates	Approximate sampling interval	Quantity of new kits
Hafften	27-0199	14	Biweekly	0

2.02 Watershed Responsibilities. The Watershed agrees that it will have sole responsibility for:

- a. Recruiting volunteers (who have access to a boat) to monitor the lakes the Watershed wishes to involve in the program as listed in section 2.01(b) above.
- b. Providing the Council and/or volunteers with needed lake information such as lake bathymetric maps and access locations.
- c. Paying for the laboratory analysis cost of the samples collected by volunteers which cost is included in the amounts specified in Article III below.
- d. Ensuring that the volunteers participate in the training program.
- e. Ensuring that the volunteers fill out sampling forms during each sampling event, and collect and store samples until picked up by a Council representative.

2.03 Council Responsibilities. The Council agrees that it will:

- a. Organize the survey and train volunteers, pick up and deliver samples to the laboratory, and analyze the results of the lake and watershed data collection program.
- b. Prepare a final report containing the physical, chemical, and biological data obtained during the Monitoring Period and a brief analysis of the data.
- c. Provide quality control by collecting lake samples from random lakes involved in the volunteer program. The resulting parameter values will than be compared to determine if any problems exist involving the volunteer's sampling methods and what should be done to correct the problem.
- d. Provide the sample bottles and labels, and filters for chlorophyll filtration.

III. COMPENSATION; METHOD OF PAYMENT

3.01 Payment to Council. For all labor performed and reimbursable expenses incurred by the Council under this agreement during the Monitoring Period, the Watershed agrees to pay the Council the following amounts per lake-site listed in section 2.01(b).

Number of Sampling Dates	Payment amount (excludes sampling equipment)
8 to 14	\$550
6 to 7	\$280
1 to 5	\$200

For lake sites requiring sampling equipment, the cost for a kit of sampling equipment is \$150 per kit.

- **3.02 Payment Schedule.** Payment of the total amount owing to the Council by the Watershed shall be made within 30 days following the end of the Monitoring Period. An invoice specifying the amount owed by the Watershed will be sent under separate cover.
- 3.03 Additional Analyses. The total amount specified in the previous paragraph does not include the cost of any additional analyses requested by the Watershed, such as analysis of bottom samples. The Council will carry out any such additional analyses at the request of the Watershed and subject to the availability of Council resources for carrying out such analyses. The Council will bill the Watershed after the end of the Monitoring Period for any such additional analyses at the Council's actual cost, and the Watershed will promptly reimburse the Council for any such costs billed.

IV. GENERAL CONDITIONS

- **4.01 Period of Performance.** The services of the Council will commence on April 1, 2016, and will terminate on December 31, 2016, or following work completion and payment, whichever occurs first.
- **4.02 Amendments.** The terms of this agreement may be changed only by mutual agreement of the parties. Such changes will be effective only on the execution of written amendment(s) signed by duly authorized officers of the parties to this agreement.
- **4.03 Watershed Personnel.** Judie Anderson, or such other person as may be designated in writing by the Watershed, will serve as the Watershed's representative and will assume primary responsibility for coordinating all services with the Council.
- **4.04 Council's Contract Manager.** The Council's Contract Manager for purposes of administration of this agreement is Kent Johnson, or such other person as may be designated in writing by the Council's Regional Administrator. The Council's Contract Manager will be responsible for coordinating services under this agreement.

However, nothing in this agreement will be deemed to authorize the Contract Manager to execute amendments to this agreement on behalf of the Council.

- **4.05** Equal Employment Opportunity; Affirmative Action. The Council and the Watershed agree to comply with all applicable laws relating to nondiscrimination and affirmative action. In particular, the Council and the Watershed agree not to discriminate against any employee, applicant for employment, or participant in this study because of race, color, creed, religion, national origin, sex, marital status, status with regard to public assistance, membership or activity in a local commission, disability, sexual orientation, or age; and further agree to take action to assure that applicants and employees are treated equally with respect to all aspects of employment, including rates of pay, selection for training, and other forms of compensation.
- **4.06 Liability.** Each party to this agreement shall be liable for the acts and omissions of itself and its officers, employees, and agents, to the extent authorized by law. Neither party shall be liable for the acts or omissions of the other party or the other party's officers, employees or agents. Nothing in this agreement shall be deemed to be a waiver by either party of any applicable immunities or limits of liability including, without limitation, Minnesota Statutes, sections 3.736 (State Tort Claims) and chapter 466 (Municipal Tort Claims).
- **4.07** Copyright. No reports or documents produced in whole or in part under this agreement will be the subject of an application for copyright by or on behalf of the Council or Watershed.
- **4.08 Termination of Agreement.** The Council and the Watershed will both have the right to terminate this agreement at any time and for any reason by submitting written notice of the intention to do so to the other party at least thirty (30) days prior to the specified effective date of such termination. In the event of such termination, the Council shall retain a pro-rata portion of the amounts provided for in Article III, based on the number of sampling events occurring for each lake before termination versus the total sampling events specified for each lake. The balance of the amounts will be refunded by the Council to the watershed.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this agreement to be executed by their duly authorized representatives on the dates set forth below. This agreement is effective upon final execution by, and delivery to, both parties.

PIONEER-SARAH WATERSHED MANAGEMENT COMMISSION

Date	By
	Name
	Its
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	
Data	By
Date	EMA Section Manager

Technical Memo



Responsive partner. Exceptional outcomes.

To: Shingle Creek/West Mississippi WMO Commissioners

From: Ed Matthiesen, P.E.

Diane Spector

Date: June 3, 2016

Subject: Update on New Buffer Law

RecommendedDirect staff to notify Hennepin County and the DNR of corrections to the DNR's

Commission Action
Preliminary Buffer Map.

The Buffer Law that was signed into law by Governor Dayton in June 2015 is intended to help protect and improve water quality in the state. The Buffer Program was enacted in 2015 and includes the following provisions:

- Requires landowners to establish and maintain buffers adjacent to public waters and public drainage ditches:
- Requires soil and water conservation districts to identify other waters for inclusion in local water plans;
- Exempts certain land uses and areas from the buffer requirement;
- Allows landowners to meet the buffer requirements through other conservation practices that will
 protect water quality;
- Requires soil and water conservation districts to assist landowners in complying; and
- Provides for enforcement by counties, watershed districts or BWSR.

The Law requires the DNR to develop a Buffer Map for the state identifying the waters where buffers will be required, and BWSR is developing standards and practices for the counties, SWCD's and watershed districts to follow when enforcing the Buffer Law. However, among the exemptions from the Law, most importantly for the Commissions, is:

"Land adjacent to waters...is exempt...if it is regulated by a national pollutant discharge elimination system/state disposal system (NPDES/SDS) permit under Minnesota Rules, chapter 7090, and provides water resources riparian protection, in any of the following categories:

- (i) municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4);
- (ii) construction storm water (CSW); or
- (iii) industrial storm water (ISW)"


In recent conversations with Randy Anhorn of Hennepin County Environment and Energy, which acts as the SWCD for Hennepin County, BWSR staff have noted that "exempt" is not likely to mean "you don't have to do anything to enforce the Law." BWSR is still discussing just what that means. It is likely that lands that are exempted must have to have some program or regulation as yet undefined in place as an

Wenck Associates, Inc. | 1800 Pioneer Creek Center | P.O. Box 249 | Maple Plain, MN 55359-0249

Toll Free 800-472-2232 Main 763-479-4200 Email wenckmp@wenck.com Web wenck.com

alternative to the strict requirements of the Law. At this point it is likely that they will choose one of three options:

- 1) MS4s are exempt but subject to some other regulation.
- 2) MS4 lands within the Census Defined Urban Area are exempt but subject to some other regulation, outside the CDUA must meet the Buffer Law. So, if you're half in, half out, the half in is exempt, the half out must meet the Law. All of the area within SCWM is within the CDUA, but Maple Grove has area outside the CDUA in the Elm Creek watershed, and several of the MS4s in the Elm Creek watershed have lands outside the CDUA. (Note, the CDUA is not the MUSA.)
- MS4 lands within some other boundary BWSR defines are exempt but subject to some other regulation, outside must meet the Buffer Law.



We will continue to monitor this regulatory situation. More information is available at bwsr.state.mn.us/buffers/.


In the meantime, the DNR has released its Preliminary Buffer Map, which was compiled from the Public Waters Inventory (PWI) and Public Ditch information. Randy does recommend reviewing the draft buffer map and submitting corrections even if the watercourse is in an MS4 because it is unclear at this time which regulations will apply.

Figure 1 shows the Preliminary Buffer Map with the boundary of the watersheds overlaid. (You can find the full map of the state at arcgis.dnr.state.mn.us/gis/buffersviewer/.) Some of the watercourses shown on the Map are no longer extant. The original PWI was completed in the 1970s, and many of the smaller tributary streams in the Metro Area have been replaced with storm sewer pipe. In some cases, such as Oxbow Creek or parts of Ryan Creek development has changed the drainage area to the stream and surficial groundwater flow, and the stream no longer supports a base flow. Those streams typically only convey flow in the spring or during large events. The DNR does not amend the PWI map for these types of changes unless the watercourse was originally placed on the PWI in error. However, it is useful to distinguish these streams because requiring a buffer would be inappropriate or impossible.

The watercourses on the Map are shown in three classes, two of which are shown in SCWM. Public Waters watercourses that are not Public Ditches under MS 103E must be provided a 50 foot buffer, and Public Ditches under MS 103E must be provided a 16.5 foot buffer. The only Public Ditch under MS 103E in the watersheds is Shingle Creek from Xerxes Avenue in Brooklyn Park to Webber Park in Minneapolis. The Map shows all of Shingle Creek as a Public Ditch, as well as Eagle Creek and Pike Creek.

Staff Recommendation

Submit the Public Ditch corrections on Figure 1 to Hennepin County/DNR, and provide the locations of no longer extant streams to Hennepin County for their information and use.





Buffer Program Update

July 18, 2016

With the Department of Natural Resources' (DNR) roll-out of the field-ready buffer protection maps on July 12, there will be a shift to program implementation by local government partners. Below is an update on the status of buffer program development and what can be expected over the next few months as BWSR prepares the program implementation components.

Buffer Mapping

With your help, the DNR has produced a Buffer Protection Map that will help guide implementation of Minnesota's buffer law. The DNR produced the Map on schedule, using state of the art mapping technologies and the hard work of a wide range of professionals both inside and outside their agency.

The Map is ready to use as a tool to support implementation of the buffer law, with some scattered locations that still need field review. The Map will help landowners and their local SWCD, County or Watershed District professionals identify where buffers are required and what buffer width is required.

If you have additional comments or questions on the DNR Buffer Protection Map please contact Dave Leuthe at buffermapping.dnr@state.mn.us.

Review of Draft Policy

Seven draft Buffer program policies are now available for public comment on the BWSR website (based on the June 22, 2016 Board action). The comment period is open through July 27. While not required, BWSR wants to ensure that partners, stakeholders, and the public have the opportunity to provide input into program development. After the comment period has closed, responses analyzed, and adjustments made to the draft policies, they are expected to be presented to the Board at its August 25 meeting for adoption.

Guidance Documents Development

While the buffer program policy documents articulate *what* is required, supplemental guidance documents are being drafted to describe *how* specific components of the program will be implemented. When the guidance documents are available they will posted on the BWSR website at: http://bwsr.state.mn.us/buffers/.

Other Watercourses

The law includes local SWCD responsibility for developing "other watercourses" lists or maps that will be incorporated into Local Water Management Authorities water plans. BWSR staff are developing guidance, including assimilation of some base data sets, to support this work. The decision-making process for these lists will be based significantly on local discretion, so we encourage as much cross-

boundary collaboration as possible. There is no public notification requirement in statute for this process, but we suggest some mechanism for including public input.

Compliance Tracking

Soil and Water Conservation Districts (SWCDs) are required under the law to track progress towards compliance. Working in partnership with several SWCDs, BWSR is developing a tool, which can be used for that purpose. Collecting consistent data from SWCDs about progress toward compliance is needed to measure and communicate the program's status to local and state decision-makers. Our goal is to have this tool ready for use by September 2016.

Looking Ahead

In August, the Local Government Water Roundtable is holding a series of meetings for elected and appointed officials to help them understand the Buffer Law and the connections to related laws and local government authorities. The goal is to provide a consistent message to leaders around the state about what's in the law, what's happened so far, and what can be expected in the months and years to come as the program rolls out.

This fall, BWSR will develop program pieces specifically focused on enforcement, including the development of a plan to enact the APO provisions. We know there are many questions out there, and we will share materials as they are developed and well before the enforcement period begins.

There is a lot of work ahead, at both the state and local level, to get this program up and running and buffers and alternative practices in place. As always, we welcome your input in the process and are committed to partnering with you to make this work. More updates will be forthcoming, but in the meantime, if you have specific questions please direct them to your Board Conservationist or Program Coordinator Tom Gile.

From: Darren Lochner < dlochner@minnehahacreek.org>

Date: July 18, 2016 at 9:30:34 AM CDT

Cc: Darren Lochner < dlochner@minnehahacreek.org >

Subject: Reminder - Workshop on the Water on Lake Minnetonka for local leaders - August 3rd

Sign up for the Workshop on the Water on August 3rd!

As a reminder, you are invited to join other local policymakers and community leaders on Wednesday, August 3rd **Workshop on the Water on Lake Minnetonka** hosted by the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District along with the University of Minnesota Extension.

Space on the boat is limited so register today (www.minnehahacreek.org/boat-tour)! If you have any questions about this workshop please contact me. Please consider sharing this announcement with your local leaders.

Darren Lochner
Minnehaha Creek Watershed District

Darren Lochner, Education Program Manager 15320 Minnetonka Blvd, Minnetonka, MN 55345 Direct: (952) 641-4524 | www.minnehahacreek.org



JOIN US: WORKSHOP ON THE WATER

PLANNING TOGETHER FOR CLEAN WATER

WHEN: Wednesday, August 3 | 5 p.m. to 9 p.m.

WHERE: Lake Minnetonka

Depart from Al and Alma's | 5201 Piper Rd, Mound, MN

55364

WHO: Local policymakers and community leaders

WHY: What we do on the land directly affects the health of our waters. Water, in turn, directly impacts the value of surrounding lands. Yet land use and water planning are typically undertaken by different agencies. By integrating the ways we plan for both, we can supplement one another's investment, add value and achieve multiple goals.

At this workshop participants will hear examples of how planning in partnership has paid off for the MCWD and its communities, and discuss how these lessons can be applied to future projects.

RSVP: www.minnehahacreek.org/boat-tour

Or contact Larisa Jenrich: (651) 480-7732 | jenri001@umn.edu

Space is limited so register today! COST: \$20 fee includes dinner